

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,580	12/31/2003	Michael D. Hamerski	59116US002	8979
32692	7590 05/17/	005	EXAMINER	
	ATIVE PROPER	TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS		
PO BOX 334 ST. PAUL.	427 MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3725	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,580	HAMERSKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tolan Edward	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a b. a reply within the statutory minimum of the criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
•	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice und	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subje	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1210	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Idents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	Paper No (/08) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-1-2004</u> .	6)	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/749,580

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6,11-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ventura (6,722,179) in view of Hutter, III (6,773,780). Ventura discloses a method of removing a dent (2) from a workpiece (3) comprising the steps of providing a device including a body member (7) and a force applying member (9,10) movably connected with the body member, attaching the body member to the surface of the workpiece using hot melt adhesive (col. 4, lines 37-53) and moving the force applying member to remove the dent. Regarding claim 1, it is inherent that a compressive force is generated whent the nut (10) is turned the opposite way. Ventura suggests the use of other adhesives besides hot melt in col. 4, lines 53 and 54. Ventura does not disclose a double sided adhesive. Hutter teaches a double sided adhesive in column 6, lines 63-67 and column 7, lines 1-9 that is used to attach a threaded bolt onto a surface (14). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to substitute the adhesive of Hutter for the adhesive of Ventura in order to use a peel off adhesive that is quickly applied to the body member.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,580

Art Unit: 3725

Regarding claims 3 and 12, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to apply the method to any surface to which the adhesive is securable.

Claims 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ventura (6,722,179) in view of Hutter, III (6,773,780) and further in view of Meichtry (6,874,347). Ventura in view of Hutter does not disclose a pivotal force applying member. Meichtry teaches pivot arms (163.1,163.2) for applying force. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide Ventura in view of Hutter with pivotal arms as taught by Meichtry in order to produce a larger force through leverage.

Claims 8,9,17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ventura (6,722,179) in view of Hutter, III (6,773,780) and further in view of Ritter (6,792,790). Ventura in view of Hutter does not disclose a spring. Ritter teaches that it is known to use a spring (19) in a force applying member (15). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide Ventura in view of Hutter with a spring as taught by Ritter in order to bias the force applying member against the workpiece or the bridge.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ventura (6,722,179) in view of Hutter, III (6,773,780) and further in view of Holsapple (3,712,106). Ventura in view of Hutter does not disclose a pair of force applying members. Holsapple teaches force applying members (24,26) that are forced in tension or compression by lever (14). The members are shown acting at an angle to one

Application/Control Number: 10/749,580

Art Unit: 3725

A . . Va

580 Page 4

another on a curved surface (60). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide Ventura in view of Hutter with additional force applying member in order to operate on curved surfaces or to affect a greater surface area.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525.

PRIMARY EXAMINER